17

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1943

No. 609

MINNIE B. VAN DUSEN,

Petitioner,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

REPLY BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

JOSEPH E. DAVIES,
RAYMOND N. BEEBE,
RAYMOND H. BERRY,
ARTHUR L. EVELY,
Counsel for Petitioner.

INDEX.

	PAGE
Introductory statement	1
Argument	2
Conclusion	2

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1943

No. 609

MINNIE B. VAN DUSEN,

Petitioner,

vs.

COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

REPLY BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

On January 15, 1944, petitioner filed a petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and a brief in support thereof. On February 8, 1944, the respondent filed a brief in opposition to the granting of this petition. In his brief respondent incorporates by reference his argument presented in the brief in opposition which he filed as of

the same date in the case of *Charles B. Van Dusen v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, No. 608. This brief is filed by petitioner as a reply brief to respondent's brief in opposition.

ARGUMENT

The present case involves the identical issues presented in the case of *Charles B. Van Dusen v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, No. 608. The argument of petitioner in reply to respondent's argument in his brief in opposition in that case is therefore made, by reference, her argument in the present brief.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully urged that the petition of Minnie B. Van Dusen for a writ of certiorari be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH E. DAVIES,
RAYMOND N. BEEBE,
815 Fifteenth Street N. W.,
Washington, D. C.

RAYMOND H. BERRY,
ARTHUR L. EVELY,
1000 Penobscot Building,
Detroit, Michigan.
Counsel for Petitioner.